### PLANNING COMMISSION AGENDA CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

### **JANUARY 8, 2008**

### THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S VISION OF ITS ROLE IN THE COMMUNITY

The Planning Commission sees its role as the preparation and implementation of the Comprehensive Plan through which the Commission seeks to promote orderly growth, preserve the quality of Coeur d'Alene, protect the environment, promote economic prosperity and foster the safety of its residents.

### 5:30 P.M. CALL TO ORDER:

**ROLL CALL:** Jordan, Bowlby, Luttropp, Rasor, Messina, Satterly, (Student Rep)

### APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

DECEMBER 11, 2007

### ELECTIONS:

1. Chair and Vice-Chair

### **PUBLIC COMMENTS:**

#### **COMMISSION COMMENTS:**

### **STAFF COMMENTS:**

#### PUBLIC HEARINGS:

1. Applicant: CDA Architects, PLLC Location: 415 Lilac Lane & 2310 Pennsylvania Avenue

Request:

- A. A proposed 2.24 acre annexation from Agricultural Suburban to City R-8 (Residential at 8 units/acre) QUASI-JUDICIAL, (A-1-08)
- B. A proposed zone change from R-3 (Residential at 3 units/acre) to R-8 (Residential at 8 units/acre) QUASI-JUDICIAL, (ZC-1-08)
- C. A proposed 11.528 acre PUD "Pennsylvania Highlands" QUASI-JUDICIAL, (PUD-1-08)
- D. A proposed 82- unit preliminary plat "Pennsylvania Highlands" QUASI-JUDICIAL, (S-1-08)

#### **ADJOURNMENT/CONTINUATION:**

Motion by \_\_\_\_\_\_, seconded by \_\_\_\_\_\_, to continue meeting to \_\_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_, at \_\_\_ p.m.; motion carried unanimously. Motion by \_\_\_\_\_\_, seconded by \_\_\_\_\_\_, to adjourn meeting; motion carried unanimously. \*The City of Coeur d'Alene will make reasonable accommodations for anyone attending this meeting who requires special assistance for hearing, physical or other impairments. Please contact Shana Stuhlmiller at (208)769-2240 at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting date and time.

# COEUR D'ALENE PLANNING COMMISSION FINDINGS AND ORDER

### A. INTRODUCTION

This matter having come before the Planning Commission on January 8, 2008, and there being present a person requesting approval of ITEM A-1-08, a request for Zoning Prior to Annexation from County Agricultural-Suburban to R-8 (Residential at 8 units/acre).

LOCATION: +/- 11.6 - acre parcel between Pennsylvania Avenue, Fernan Hill Road, Lilac Lane and Interstate 90

APPLICANT: Pennsylvania Avenue, LLC

# B. FINDINGS: JUSTIFICATION FOR THE DECISION/CRITERIA, STANDARDS AND FACTS RELIED UPON

### (The Planning Commission may adopt Items B1-through7.)

- B1. That the existing land uses are residential single-family and vacant land.
- B2. That the Comprehensive Plan Map designation is Transition.
- B3. That the zoning is County Agricultural-Suburban.
- B4. That the notice of public hearing was published on December 22, 2008, and January 1, 2008, which fulfills the proper legal requirement.
- B5. That the notice of public hearing was not required to be posted, which fulfills the proper legal requirement.
- B6. That 253 notices of public hearing were mailed to all property owners of record within threehundred feet of the subject property on December 21, 2007, and \_\_\_\_\_ responses were received: \_\_\_\_ in favor, \_\_\_\_ opposed, and \_\_\_\_ neutral.
- B7. That public testimony was heard on January 8, 2008.
- B8. That this proposal (is) (is not) in conformance with the Comprehensive Plan policies as follows:

B9. That public facilities and utilities (are) (are not) available and adequate for the proposed use.This is based on

Criteria to consider for B9:

- 1. Can water be provided or extended to serve the property?
- 2. Can sewer service be provided or extended to serve the property?
- 3. Does the existing street system provide adequate access to the property?
- 4. Is police and fire service available to the property?
- B10. That the physical characteristics of the site (do) (do not) make it suitable for the request at this time because

Criteria to consider for B10:

- 1. Topography.
- 2. Streams.
- 3. Wetlands.
- 4. Rock outcroppings, etc.
- 5. vegetative cover.
- B11. That the proposal (would) (would not) adversely affect the surrounding neighborhood with regard to traffic, neighborhood character, (and) (or) existing land uses because

Criteria to consider for B11:

- 1. Traffic congestion.
- 2. Is the proposed zoning compatible with the surrounding area in terms of density, types of uses allowed or building types allowed?
- 3. Existing land use pattern i.e. residential, commercial, residential w churches & schools etc.

## C. ORDER: CONCLUSION AND DECISION

The Planning Commission, pursuant to the aforementioned, finds that the request of **PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, LLC** for zoning prior to annexation, as described in the application should be **(approved) (denied) (denied without prejudice)**.

Suggested provisions for inclusion in an Annexation Agreement are as follows:

Motion by	, seconded by	, to adopt the foregoing Findings and Order.
ROLL CALL:		
Commissioner Bowlby Commissioner Luttropp Commissioner Messina Commissioner Rasor	Voted Voted Voted	_
Chairman Jordan	Voted	_ (tie breaker)
Commissioners	were absent.	
Motion tocar	ried by a to vote.	

CHAIRMAN BRAD JORDAN

# COEUR D'ALENE PLANNING COMMISSION FINDINGS AND ORDER

### A. INTRODUCTION

This matter having come before the Planning Commission on, January 8, 2008, and there being present a person requesting approval of ITEM ZC-1-08, a request for a zone change from R-3 (Residential at 3 units/acre) to R-8 (Residential at 8 units/acre)

LOCATION: +/- 11.6 - acre parcel between Pennsylvania Avenue, Fernan Hill Road, Lilac Lane and Interstate 90

APPLICANT: Pennsylvania Avenue, LLC

# B. FINDINGS: JUSTIFICATION FOR THE DECISION/CRITERIA, STANDARDS AND FACTS RELIED UPON

#### (The Planning Commission may adopt Items B1-through7.)

- B1. That the existing land uses are residential single-family and vacant land.
- B2. That the Comprehensive Plan Map designation is Transition.
- B3. That the zoning is R-3 (Residential at 3 units/acre)
- B4. That the notice of public hearing was published on December 22, 2008, and January 1, 2008, which fulfills the proper legal requirement.
- B5. That the notice of public hearing was posted on the property on, December 31, 2007, which fulfills the proper legal requirement.
- B6. That 253 notices of public hearing were mailed to all property owners of record within threehundred feet of the subject property on December 21, 2007, and \_\_\_\_\_ responses were received: \_\_\_\_ in favor, \_\_\_\_ opposed, and \_\_\_\_ neutral.
- B7. That public testimony was heard on January 8, 2008.
- B8. That this proposal **(is) (is not)** in conformance with the Comprehensive Plan policies as follows:

B9. That public facilities and utilities (are) (are not) available and adequate for the proposed use. This is based on

Criteria to consider for B9:

- 1. Can water be provided or extended to serve the property?
- 2. Can sewer service be provided or extended to serve the property?
- 3. Does the existing street system provide adequate access to the property?
- 4. Is police and fire service available and adequate to the property?
- B10. That the physical characteristics of the site (do) (do not) make it suitable for the request at this time because
  - Criteria to consider for B10:
  - 1. Topography
  - 2. Streams
  - 3. Wetlands
  - 4. Rock outcroppings, etc.
  - 5. vegetative cover
- B11. That the proposal (would) (would not) adversely affect the surrounding neighborhood with regard to traffic, neighborhood character, (and) (or) existing land uses because

Criteria to consider for B11:

- 1. Traffic congestion
- 2. Is the proposed zoning compatible with the surrounding area in terms of density, types of uses allowed or building types allowed
- 3. Existing land use pattern i.e. residential, commercial, residential w churches & schools etc.

## C. ORDER: CONCLUSION AND DECISION

The Planning Commission, pursuant to the aforementioned, finds that the request of **PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, LLC** for a zone change, as described in the application should be **(approved) (denied) (denied without prejudice)**.

Special conditions applied are as follows:

Motion by	_, seconded by	_, to adopt the foregoing Findings and
Order.		

ROLL CALL:

Commissioner Bowlby Commissioner Luttropp Commissioner Messina Commissioner Rasor	Voted Voted Voted	
Chairman Jordan	Voted (tie breaker	r)
Commissioners	were absent.	
Motion tocarried	by a to vote.	

CHAIRMAN BRAD JORDAN