



Third Program Year CAPER

The CPMP Third Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report includes Narrative Responses to CAPER questions that CDBG, HOME, HOPWA, and ESG grantees must respond to each year in order to be compliant with the Consolidated Planning Regulations. The Executive Summary narratives are optional.

The grantee must submit an updated Financial Summary Report (PR26).

GENERAL

Executive Summary

This module is optional but encouraged. If you choose to complete it, provide a brief overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the third year.

Plan Year 2010 focused on four major activities: acquire land to increase affordable housing for rent; Community Grant Opportunity projects which assisted low income families faced with foreclosure and providing homeless with counseling services and assistance with finding a suitable living environment; Emergency Minor Repair and Accessibility Program to assist LMI homeowners with safety and sanitation issues; and repair and replacement of sidewalks for accessibility.

Emergency Minor Home Repair & Accessibility Program (EMRAP) is designed to help low-to-moderate income homeowners correct building conditions that threaten the health and safety, or the soundness of their home. Thirteen projects were completed in Plan Year 2008, nine in Plan Year 2009 and nine in Plan Year 2010.

While the City's population and sophistication have reached the echelon of entitlement status, Coeur d'Alene retains the small town "feel" and ability to work with the community for the betterment of its LMI Citizens. The success of the newly established warming centers is a case in point. Agencies, church groups, organizations, businesses and private citizen's work together to provide a safe shelter when temperatures dip to life threatening lows. When, and for how long shelter may be required cannot be forecast with any certainty, yet when the need is felt, forces mobilize and the resources are made available for as long they are needed.

The City's entitlement allocation, \$309,469 for PY 2009 and \$336,475 for PY 2010 is not large enough to fund substantial housing projects or rehabilitation on a neighborhood size scale. The City is focusing on partnerships and collaborations where their modest funding, may tip the balance toward a successful project. The City of Coeur d'Alene has made a commitment to support and promote activities and programs that benefit LMI residents and all citizens. The City advocates education and outreach to create a strong, involved and aware populous who want to participate in community improvement. The City envisions consistent growth of the Entitlement Program with widespread benefits through increased participation from stakeholders, innovative proposals and North Idaho enthusiasm.

General Questions

1. Assessment of the one-year goals and objectives:

a. Describe the accomplishments in attaining the goals and objectives for the reporting period.

In Plan Year 2010, the City of Coeur d'Alene focused on four activities as identified in the Consolidated Plan.

Acquire land to further the goal of providing affordable housing for rent for the LMI residents. (The Consolidated Plan acknowledges that there is a need for both rental and for sale housing for LMI persons.) The City allocated \$125,000 in the Plan Year budget for this activity. \$100,000 of those funds have been combined with the \$100,000 allocated for affordable housing for Plan Year 2009 and were used toward the purchase of land for the Whitewater Development Mill River Senior Apartments project on Seltice Way. An additional \$40,000 was allocated under the Community Grant Opportunity to fund engineering activities for this project. Construction is progressing; photos are attached as **Attachment "A."** Additionally, the City allocated \$25,000 to assist with the purchase of eight LMI rental units at 102 and 106 Homestead Avenue. It is the City's intent to fund additional reimbursements over the next 3 years.

Community Grant Opportunity projects. The City budgeted \$100,000 to be made available to grant applicants to fund projects which met one of the National Objectives and one of the City's five goals as identified in the Consolidated Plan. Following a formal Request for Proposal, and review by the Ad Hoc Committee, two projects were recommended for funding.

Upon approval by the City Council, the Community Action Partnership was awarded \$46,000 to provide counseling to help people reach housing goals and solve housing problems. Assistance includes providing information regarding foreclosure prevention, reverse mortgages, and managing budgets for homebuyers, renters and the homeless.

The Whitewater Development/Mill River Senior Apartments was awarded \$40,000, which will provide 50 units of rental housing for seniors; 39 units are specific to LMI persons, two units will be CDBG accomplishments. All units are single story, alleviating many potential mobility issues. The project includes tax credit financing and carries substantial state and federal commitments (40 years total). The City's contribution to the project represents 5% of the total project, which provided additional points in the tax credit grant process. Project completion (for the City) would be realized when two 2-bedroom units of the rental apartments are occupied by LMI persons that are 55 years of age or older.

The second amendment to the Program Year 2010 Action Plan approved by the City included allocation of the remaining \$14,000 from the original \$100,000 for community grants, with the addition of \$400.00, was budgeted as follows:

-
- \$10,000 – Riverstone Apartments engineering fees, which is another tax credit property, which will provide 39 LMI units of family housing.
 - \$4,400 – Lake City Senior Center public service project for commercial stove for the Meals-on-Wheels program.

The City continues to build the successful Emergency Minor Repair and Accessibility Program (EMRAP), instituted during the City's first year as an entitlement recipient. This year the City's budget to assist LMI homeowners with safety repairs and sanitation issues was \$40,000. The program provides up to \$6,000 of grant funding to correct problems such as broken sewer lines, leaking roofs, sagging floors, inoperable furnaces or hot water heaters, etc. Nine LMI homeowners have been awarded grants for Plan Year 2010. Word of mouth has become a common and effective marketing tool; however, the City also advertises the program through distribution of brochures, posting information on their website, announcements during (televised) City Council meetings, and networking with area service providers.

Repair and replacement of sidewalks was identified by city residents as a priority during the development of the City's Consolidated Plan, and the sentiment was further reinforced in discussion during the Action Plan public participation. During this plan year, the City allocated \$20,600 toward the 7th Street sidewalk project, which is within LMI Census Tract 14, Block 2 and Track 15, Block 3. A number of factors combine to make sidewalks an issue for consideration under Entitlement funding. Access to business, schools, parks, and/or governmental facilities is vital for the function of everyday commerce and livelihoods. Poor condition, dangerous or nonexistent sidewalks have a strong negative effect on a City's image as a place to live and do business. It is important that residents, tourists and those contemplating business operations are assured of safe access in the downtown and residential areas. Additionally, property owners are responsible for the condition of the abutting sidewalks. Comments by the public were split evenly between mobility and financial issues. The work to be accomplished with these funds will be in an LMI by census tract neighborhood. The area was previously identified as a priority in the City's five-year sidewalk plan by the ad hoc Sidewalk committee.

The City only allocated 15% of the allowable 20% for administration. They have contracted with Panhandle Area Council to provide professional services and act as supplemental staff for the City's activities. The remaining administrative funds are used to attend and provide training, purchase brochures and other educational materials, provide for legal notices and other requirements of the program process. A portion of the funds will be used to fund a Fair Housing Analysis of Impediments and Fair Housing Needs Assessment.

b. Provide a breakdown of the CPD formula grant funds spent on grant activities for each goal and objective.

See **Attachment "B."**

c. If applicable, explain why progress was not made towards meeting the goals and objectives.

All projects and activities for Plan Year 2010 are progressing as anticipated. The only goal in the Consolidated Plan that has not been funded is economic development. The City is open to economic development projects submitted through the Community Opportunity Grant program, or suggestions from businesses or citizens, to date no requests have come forward. The City will continue to encourage proposals and explore economic development ideas when they are presented.

2. Describe the manner in which the recipient would change its program as a result of its experiences.

During the first three years of the City's Entitlement program numerous changes have been made in processes and procedures. The monitoring visit in March 2011 by HUD staff from Portland and Seattle identified some areas where change could be beneficial. The City will work with HUD recommendations to continue improving the program for the benefit of the City's residents.

In the first years of the program changes were primarily focused in areas of planning and communication. Now that the City is more proficient in the development phase of instituting projects, they can devote more resources toward implementation. Specific elements for immediate improvement will concentrate on documentation (expansion of data) and HUD sub-recipient monitoring checklists.

3. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing:

a. Provide a summary of impediments to fair housing choice.

The City of Coeur d'Alene has contracted with BBC Research & Consulting (BBC) to update their Fair Housing Needs Assessment and Analysis of Impediments. The City received a draft report in February 2011. Lack of housing stock remains the biggest problem, particularly for renters. Not only are there too few units for the lowest income households, renters with incomes closer to the moderate range are frequently in direct competition with above median income households who wish to keep their housing costs as low as possible. Traditional thinking has been that the higher earning households will buy rather than rent, but that has not been the case, resulting in competition for moderately affordable priced rentals.

Income aside, the survey conducted by BBC indicates few people believe there is a Fair Housing discrimination problem in Coeur d'Alene. Only 7% of respondents polled complained of discrimination that fell under Fair Housing law. The protected classes for those complaints were disability and familial status. Roughly, 10% of those surveyed responded that discrimination may have occurred, but the circumstances, such as not able to own non-service animals, is not a protected class.

Bottom line, income and availability of appropriate housing stock are the biggest impediments to housing choice. Lack of knowledge among residents and small landlords were also identified as barriers through the survey process.

b. Identify actions taken to overcome effects of impediments identified.

Lack of knowledge is something the City can and has addressed. The City of Coeur d'Alene sponsors Fair Housing Training at least once a year, provides education and awareness materials (such as brochures) as requested, and addresses all complaints filed with the City in a responsible and timely manner. The City posts information on their website, airs training on the (local access) CDA TV, channel 19, displays posters on City public bulletin boards at City Hall and Customer Service Center, and when possible attends the Fair Housing Forum meetings via conference call. The City published the annual Notice of Fair Housing Resolution on May 9, 2011. The annual Fair Housing Month Proclamation was presented on April 5, 2011.

4. Describe Other Actions in Strategic Plan or Action Plan taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs.

During the course of PY 2010, two substantial amendments were approved. These amendments included funding toward the Lake City Senior center to benefit the meals on wheels program, funding toward housing development such as the Mill River Senior apartments and for land acquisition such as the 8 units acquire on Homestead Avenue. Additionally, the City has contracted with BBC Research & Consulting to update the City's Housing Needs Assessment and complete an Analysis of Impediments in collaboration with the Idaho Department of Commerce, Idaho Housing and Finance Association and other Idaho Entitlement Cities. The City will continue to work with local organizations such as St. Vincent de Paul, North Idaho Housing Coalition, the Committee to End Homelessness, IHFA, the Region I Homeless Coalition (aka Continuum of Care), and other government and non-profit organizations to identify areas of need and appropriate activities to mitigate the problems as resources allow.

The H.E.L.P. (Helping Empower Local People) Center is an excellent partner in this process. An innovative partnership lead by St. Vincent de Paul provides office space and basic operating functions in a "one stop shop" so that LMI persons can make inquiries and receive services from employment searches to housing assistance to basic health care. The obstacles to meeting underserved needs are as varied as the individuals who seek assistance. As organizations and agencies record program activities including successes and failures, adjustments are made to the process, to incorporate the most effective methodologies and modify or eliminate those that are not working. The process is on going and as flexible as possible (within the confines of established regulations) to address the conditions and circumstances relevant to Coeur d'Alene.

Additionally, the City will continue to support warming shelters and the annual Project Homeless Connect events.

5. Leveraging Resources

a. Identify progress in obtaining “other” public and private resources to address needs.

The City has established a Community Grant Opportunity program. Agencies, organizations, businesses, citizens are invited to submit grant applications for projects that meet a National Objective and a goal of the City's Consolidated Plan. These entities, many of whom serve LMI populations, are an excellent source of ideas and options for meeting the needs of the LMI residents. The City has posted a policy to their website regarding the protocol to address situations that are too unique to be included in annual planning. The City accepts, reviews, and considers requests for funding from community members, organizations, developers, businesses, et al regarding the priorities set forth in the Consolidated Plan. The City has an open door policy regarding discussion of large projects and determination of the priority of funding.

b. How Federal resources from HUD leveraged other public and private resources.

The Community Grant Opportunity program is designed to provide federal funds in partnership with other resources to further projects that will benefit LMI persons. For example, the PY 2009 funds awarded to Habitat for Humanity in the amount of \$45,400 for the Hamilton Woods infrastructure were leveraged by an amount of \$550,000. Habitat provided the additional cash for the infrastructure, and the home construction will be funded through a variety of means, including direct donations from businesses and church groups, income from the organization's ReStore, and donated labor and supplies.

The Whitewater Development/Mill River Senior Apartment Project is an excellent example of multi funding collaboration including Tax credits program dollars, IHFA, Lake City Development Corporation (LCDC) and HUD funds through the City's Community Development Block Grant Program. Funds from PY 2009 and PY 2010 were combined to total \$240,000 and were leveraged by \$7,008,281 by the other resources listed above.

Another multi-funded project included the PY 2010 Whitewater Development/Riverstone where \$10,000 of CDBG was leveraged by IHFA, Home Funds, local, and private investments in the amount of \$8,175,000.

The CDBG funds in the amount of \$4,400 to purchase a stove for the Lake City Senior Center's Meals-on-Wheels program are estimated to be leveraged in the amount of \$2,000.

c. How matching requirements were satisfied.

The City of Coeur d'Alene does not require a match for projects under the Community Grant Opportunity program, or for those projects initiated through the City's open door policy. Only projects that demonstrate a complete and reasonable budget are considered for funding.

Managing the Process

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to ensure compliance with program and comprehensive planning requirements.

The City, with the assistance of Panhandle Area Council (PAC) followed the established procedures for each of the program activities conducted during the plan year. The Action Plan for 2010 was advertised to the public for review and comment, beginning in January 2010. A public hearing was conducted February 2, 2010 following the appropriate comment period. The City Council provided final approval. All activities not specifically defined in the action plan were subsequently detailed to the public through the substantial amendment process, which also includes a 30-day Public comment period, public hearing and City Council approval. Substantial amendments in PY 2010 are as follows:

- Amendment 1, PY 2009 Action Plan, July 6, 2010 public hearing
- Amendment 1, PY 2010 Action Plan, July 6, 2010 public hearing
- Amendment 2, PY 2010 Action Plan, March 1, 2011 public hearing

All proposed actions under the Entitlement Program are reviewed for compliance under HUD regulations, specifically that they meet a national objective, constitute an eligible activity and address one of the goals established by the City during the Consolidated Planning process.

Activities which require procurement are conducted in accordance with the City and HUD's guidelines, whether competitive bidding or request for proposal/quote. Sub-recipients whose projects require procurement are assisted through this process by Panhandle Area Council. PAC also prepares the environmental reviews for the City's review and approval.

The City manages the IDIS reporting and financial aspects of the program. Panhandle Area Council process pay requests for the City's use in paying vendors and sub-recipients and maintains an accounting of all expenditures, and budgets for each Plan Year and activities under those individual years.

Citizen Participation

1. Provide a summary of citizen comments.

This CAPER was posted to the City's website at www.cdavid.org and notice published in the Coeur d'Alene Press on May 13, 2011 for public review and comment. A summary was presented to the Coeur d'Alene City Council on June 20, 2011. During the public comment period, one comment was received from Sheryldene Rogers, Director of Residential Development, Goodale & Barbieri Company. Ms. Rogers noted that on Page 10, Item 3, under Self Evaluation the Kathy Reed House (HUD 202) serves seniors 62+; not 55+. The noted correction has been incorporated into this document. No other verbal or written comments were received.

-
2. In addition, the performance report provided to citizens must identify the Federal funds made available for furthering the objectives of the Consolidated Plan. For each formula grant program, the grantee shall identify the total amount of funds available (including estimated program income), the total amount of funds committed during the reporting period, the total amount expended during the reporting period, and the geographic distribution and location of expenditures. Jurisdictions are encouraged to include maps in describing the geographic distribution and location of investment (including areas of minority concentration). The geographic distribution and expenditure requirement may also be satisfied by specifying the census tracts where expenditures were concentrated.

*Please note that Citizen Comments and Responses may be included as additional files within the CPMP Tool.

Budget and expenditures for Plan Year 2010 are in **ATTACHMENT "B."** Maps pinpointing where projects were located are in **ATTACHMENT "C,"** and IDIS Financial Summary Report PR26, and PR23, is included as **ATTACHMENT "D."**

Institutional Structure

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to overcome gaps in institutional structures and enhance coordination.

Many of the activities to assist low/moderate income persons, special needs individuals, the homeless, and other disadvantaged groups in Coeur d'Alene and Kootenai County area are delivered through an assortment of well-established programs under the direction of established organizations and agencies such as Idaho Housing and Finance Association (IHFA) and the Disability Action Agency. Newer programs such as the H.E.L.P. Center, Supportive Housing Program (SHP), Shelter Plus Care Program and Emergency shelter Grant Program (ESG) are overseen by St. Vincent de Paul North Idaho, or other local not for profit organizations.

The City of Coeur d'Alene attends meetings on a regular basis to foster a communication network with these agencies and to remain informed regarding local and regional programs. The City provides support as appropriate and practical, including web postings, information on the City's CDATV local broadcast station, distribution of printed materials, consultations and other aid as requested.

The City recognizes that agencies such as IHFA, who has been acting as the public housing authority for the region for many years, have a well-established, successful and time-tested process in place to deliver needed services to the area. It is the City's position that programs which are meeting the requirements of the residents should be encouraged to request assistance when needed, and that the City's nominal resources can be best used to support the network of organizations and programs already in place.

The City of Coeur d'Alene is the lead agency for the CDBG funding. The City has established goals under their Entitlement program, will work to integrate City planning, and projects with the related activities of other agencies in the area.

The City does not plan to duplicate services or subjugate the authority of other established and successful programs such as the Community Action Partnership weatherization program.

Monitoring

1. Describe how and the frequency with which you monitored your activities.

The City is revising their monitoring protocol to enhance the program and be more in line with HUD's expectations. The City will integrate the appropriate monitoring checklists for the individual projects ensuring that pertinent questions and concerns are addressed and documented.

Monitoring will continue to vary by project and activity. The City communicates with Panhandle Area Council on an almost daily basis regarding program activities, compliance issues and outreach actions. The City and PAC meet on the 4th Thursday of the month (or more frequently) to discuss overall program issues and plan for future activities and requirements. Records maintained at Panhandle Area Council are open to the City, and funding agencies.

While each project is different, and may include specifics not required of all participants, many actions apply to all. Procurement, whether a competitive process to identify new projects and grant recipients, or a project specific activity such as a bid for infrastructure construction, all include a requirement for review (and often assistance) of the entire process by Panhandle Area Council and do not proceed without approval by the City. Contracts, whether between the City and a sub-recipient, or a sub-recipient and vendor receive the same scrutiny and oversight.

The City maintains records of meetings with grantees and sub-recipients, photographic records of projects as they are implemented, and meets with project participants during the planning, implementation, and closeout phases, as well as, on request should partners have questions, concerns or suggestions, and sometimes when a specific milestone or benefit is achieved and should be celebrated. The City feels monitoring is essential for the smooth operation of the program, and can be used as a tool for outreach and public education.

2. Describe the results of your monitoring including any improvements.

Consistent and frequent communication (with documentation) is an element of all projects undertaken through the City of Coeur d'Alene's Entitlement program. Questions and concerns are addressed as they arise, and when a programmatic issue is identified, actions to correct the item are incorporated into the overall communications/monitoring plan. Determining realistic schedules has been the most common issue to be addressed. The City revised the Plan Year 2010/2011 grant applications to reflect the need for more attention to detail and required activities when designing schedules. Planning for environmental reviews, substantial amendments, review and approval of documents, etc. all require time that may not have been included in the original proposal. Panhandle Area Council is always available to answer questions for grant recipients and assist to ensure timelines are realistic in nature, and need the City's expectations for timeliness.

The following represents specific monitoring of the City's subrecipients. Generally, checklists were not used for on-site monitoring; they will be incorporated in the future.

Community Action Partnership-Counseling

- on-site review on 3/16/11

St. Vincent de Paul-Angel Arms

- 4/23/2010 on-site meeting
- 3/23/2011 – review of project and files-during City's monitoring visit by HUD

Whitewater-Mill River Apartments

- 5/7/2010 project initialization (terms)
- 8/10/2010 contract details/coordination

Lake City Senior Center – commercial stove

- 4/18/2011 – pre-award project meeting

EMRAP Program – At a minimum there are (2) two monitoring visits for each project funded; the first monitoring is done at the beginning of the project and the second is performed at the completion of the project. These projects are usually fast paced and short in duration.

Additionally, the City uses a variety of approaches for the selection of projects that include risk analysis. Under the formal process of Competitive RFP, the City receives grant applications that are reviewed by the City's Ad Hoc Committee. The Ad Hoc Committee makes recommendations based on criteria, which at a minimum evaluates staffing, the funding/budget level and the capacity of the potential subrecipient. The informal process, which is a limited application process, is evaluated based on the same basic criteria that is used in the formal process. In all cases, the quality of the project and capacity of the subrecipient to carry out the project are factors.

The City considers a project at or above \$100,000.00, and a history of previous compliance or performance problems, as a high-risk project. These projects will be set up to be monitored at least annually; more often if issues arise that are of concern.

3. Self Evaluation

a. Describe the effect programs have in solving neighborhood and community problems.

Considering the size of the City's allocation and the City's own limited resources, the positive impacts of the CDBG Program have been substantial. Housing issues have been at the top of the list as planning objectives and as projects implemented. While the City did not fund the HUD 202 project, recently constructed abutting Neider Avenue, it benefitted from the HUD 811 facility and abutting Neider Avenue infrastructure improvements. The HUD 202 project has brought in additional subsidized housing into the community, specifically targeting those that are 62 years old and older. Because the HUD 202 facility did not receive CDBG funding, the City cannot claim

accomplishment data to HUD, but the community has benefited. In previous years, the City has reported accomplishments from the rental units for special needs persons from the HUD 811 project. This year the City is adding 39 LMI apartments for seniors through the Whitewater Development/Mill River Senior Apartments project, two units will be a CDBG accomplishment, assisting to house homeless families by supporting the St. Vincent de Paul Angel Arms project, and supporting Community Action Partnership (CAP) in their efforts to assist homeowners through education and counseling. CAP assists at both ends of the spectrum from purchase of a property, to preventing foreclosure to reverse mortgages. Additionally, the homeowners assisted through EMRAP are individuals and families who without that small intervention might now be homeless.

Sidewalks are an issue that frequently illicit public comment. Concerns are divided evenly between safety concerns and apprehension about the cost for repair or replacement to homeowners. The City takes a broader view that well maintained sidewalks provides safe access for anyone using them, creates accessibility, and are instrumental in the City's overall images a destination for new business and economic growth.

The EMRAP program, which provides minor repairs and accessibility to LMI homeowners has corrected code violations and allowed the participants to remain safely in their homes. While the numbers of persons assisted are not large, there are collateral benefits. Additionally the program helps keep some of the affordable housing stock in a livable condition for many more years.

b. Describe progress in meeting priority needs and specific objectives and help make community's vision of the future a reality.

The City's highest priority needs and objectives as stated in the Consolidated Plan are to promote the increase of housing for sale and rental to LMI persons. Each of the projects the City has collaborated on represents a small step toward ensuring the availability of safe, sanitary, and secure housing for all income levels. The City's entitlement funding is not sufficient to embark on large housing projects on their own; progress will be made in increments, through partnerships.

To address the immediate housing needs of LMI persons, Idaho Housing and Finance Association (IHFA) serves the Idaho Panhandle, including the City of Coeur d'Alene. IHFA has an established system for providing service, which is well received within the region.

IHFA administers the Section 8 program in the City of Coeur d'Alene. The estimated amount available to assist households during Plan Year 2010 is \$1,649,939, to assist 427 households in the City of Coeur d'Alene; there is currently an approximate three-year waiting list. Region I, (five northern counties including the City of Coeur d'Alene) received \$3,512,628 in rental assistance funding under the Section 8 program, serving 908 households.

c. Describe how you provided decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanded economic opportunity principally for low and moderate-income persons.

The City's CDBG allocation is insufficient to fund the amount of construction/rehabilitation necessary to meet the housing unit need as identified by BBC Research & Consulting in the Housing Needs Assessment, prepared for the City this year.

The City supports Idaho Housing and Finance Association (IHFA), which serves the LMI housing needs of the Idaho Panhandle, including the City of Coeur d'Alene. IHFA administers the Section 8 program in the City of Coeur d'Alene. The estimated amount available to assist households during Plan Year 2010 was 1,649,939, to assist 427 households in the City of Coeur d'Alene.

Expanding economic opportunity is a major goal for the City, for all citizens. Organizations such as Jobs Plus focus exclusively on this issue, with the support of all the cities and counties in the Panhandle geographic area. The City's support ranges from localized activities such as the maintenance and repair of sidewalks, to area rejuvenation such as the mid-town improvements in conjunction with Lake City Development Corporation (LCDC, Coeur d'Alene's urban renewal agency) to regional participation through representation in Jobs Plus.

d. Indicate any activities falling behind schedule.

The Plan Year 2008 Foster Avenue sidewalk project has been partially delayed due to weather conditions. This seasonal project began in 2009 and will be completed and closed out this summer.

e. Describe how activities and strategies made an impact on identified needs.

Housing units that low and moderate-income residents can afford to rent or purchase have been identified as fundamental needs. What constitutes affordable for a specific section of the public is most often expressed as a percentage of gross income, using a formula that incorporates regional data and household size. The formulas do not take into account an individual household's existing debt load or any inconsistencies in their income. The Housing Counseling project offered by Community Action Partnership helps families determine what they can realistically spend on housing, and perhaps more importantly provides information about how to prepare a budget and manage finances.

Construction of housing units such as the 50-unit (39 LMI units, of of which will be CDBG accomplishments) Mill River Senior Apartments project is a much more direct manner in which to address the problem. Of course, large-scale construction projects of this sort are not a run of the mill occurrence nor are they the final solution. Projects such as this where \$7,008,281 leveraged \$240,000 in CDBG helps ensure that adequate housing stock is available is one of the City's goals.

Attacking the problem from another angle is the EMRAP program. Investing \$6,000 or less, homeowners can safely continue to live in their home, and allow the housing stock to remain viable.

Due to their success in leveraging funding by partnering with the City, Whitewater Development has asked the City to participate in another LMI housing project, located within the Riverstone development. This project will provide 50 units of multi-family housing, of which, 39 units will be made available to LMI households. The \$10,000 CDBG funds are being leveraged by \$8,175,000 IHFA grants, HOME funds, local funds, and private investors.

f. Identify indicators that would best describe the results.

Continued funding of previous activities would indicate they were successful. EMRAP in particular has benefitted from word of mouth and neighbor-to-neighbor testimonials.

g. Identify barriers that had a negative impact on fulfilling the strategies and overall vision.

There are so many needs under the community grant program that 99% of the requests were requesting public service funds. There is such a great need for these funds that the 15% cap on public service projects is burdensome. The top priority for the City is to increase LMI housing stock; however, this is a very expensive venture, which is made more difficult with federal budget cuts and lower annual allocations, and cannot be accomplished without additional financing partners.

h. Identify whether major goals are on target and discuss reasons for those that are not on target.

The City continually seeks partners for leveraging funds to meet the major goals, and currently all goals are on target. Those that are difficult to achieve are in economic development and housing. Providing additional rental and for sale housing for LMI persons is a slow process, but one the City will continue to pursue. Creating economic opportunities is a more difficult task. Lack of funding coupled with reductions in federal funding of the CDBG program result in not enough CDBG funds for a healthy economic development-type project. The City is working towards that goal by implementing projects to improve infrastructure and provide a safe and inviting environment to encourage economic development.

i. Identify any adjustments or improvements to strategies and activities that might meet your needs more effectively.

The City will continue looking for and supporting economic development opportunities. Our hope is that the economy will soon have an upswing, and partnerships and opportunities for economic development projects will surface.

Lead-based Paint

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to evaluate and reduce lead-based paint hazards.

The City sponsored a Renovate Right Lead class this year for local contractors, non-profit organizations, City and School District personnel. Twenty-one individuals were certified. Lead-based paint hazard information is located on the City's website, and packets are provided to EMRAP recipients.

HOUSING

Housing Needs

*Please also refer to the Housing Needs Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Describe Actions taken during the last year to foster and maintain affordable housing.

The City partnered with Whitewater Development/Mill River Senior Apartments project to provide 50 units of rental housing for seniors; 39 units are specific to LMI persons, two of which will be CDBG accomplishments. All units are single story, alleviating many potential mobility issues. The project includes tax credit financing and carries substantial state and federal commitments (40 years total). The City's contribution to the project represents 5% of the total project. Project completion (for the City) would be realized when two 2-bedroom rental units are occupied by LMI persons. The City will continue involvement, and will use lessons learned during the development and implementation of the project for future planning.

The City supports Idaho Housing and Finance Association (IHFA), which serves the LMI housing needs of the Idaho Panhandle, including the City of Coeur d'Alene. IHFA has an established system for providing service, which is well received and appreciated within the region.

IHFA administers the Section 8 program in the City of Coeur d'Alene. The estimated amount available to assist households during Plan Year 2010 is \$1,649,939, to assist 427 households in the City of Coeur d'Alene.

The City also supports North Idaho Housing Coalition (NIHC), the organization implementing Neighborhood Stabilization Funds in the region. NIHC has been very successful in assisting qualifying applicants (most of who are LMI) to purchase homes. In PY 2010 a total of eight families were assisted; seven of which were 80% under the MHI.

Specific Housing Objectives

- 1. Evaluate progress in meeting specific objective of providing affordable housing, including the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income renter and owner households comparing actual accomplishments with proposed goals during the reporting period.**

The City of Coeur has not set specific numeric goals for housing; however, the City utilizes its Housing Needs Assessment to guide its decisions. The assessment notes that there is a need for additional workforce housing for purchase and a dramatic need for rentals for those earning \$12,000 a year or less (1,070-unit gap). The City does support the activities of IHFA to assist all levels of LIM persons with housing needs, as well as St. Vincent de Paul, North Idaho Housing Coalition, and other organizations, agencies or businesses who submit proposals for consideration.

- 2. Evaluate progress in providing affordable housing that meets the Section 215 definition of affordable housing for rental and owner households comparing actual accomplishments with proposed goals during the reporting period.**

The City has not set specific goals for affordable housing under the definition of Section 215. When developing their NSP program, the North Idaho Housing Coalition (NIHC) determined that the greatest need for housing assistance was occurring in the 60-80% of median income group. The result has been a concentrated effort to assist LMI persons, some through the buying process and some with financial counseling geared at improving the individual's ability to purchase a home in the future.

- 3. Describe efforts to address "worst-case" housing needs and housing needs of persons with disabilities.**

The recently completed Lynn Peterson house, a St. Vincent de Paul HUD 811 facility is a welcome asset to the City providing 14 units for Special Needs individuals with one onsite manager unit. St. Vincent de Paul also manages transitional housing in the area. The City fully supports their efforts and those of IHFA, the housing authority for North Idaho. EMRAP addressed two worst-case scenarios this year – a home with raw sewage leading into the basement and a broken water line leaking approximately 200,000 gallons a month.

Public Housing Strategy

- 1. Describe actions taken during the last year to improve public housing and resident initiatives.**

The City of Coeur d'Alene receives no federal funding in addition to CDBG for housing. Idaho Housing and Finance Association (IHFA) is the public housing authority for the region and administers the Section 8 program in the City of Coeur d'Alene. The amount budgeted to assist households during Plan Year 2009 was \$1,649,939, to assist 427 households in the City of Coeur d'Alene.

North Idaho Housing Coalition reported at least six new homeowners because of their NSP funded program.

The City has various planning codes that provide for PUDs, Pocket Housing, infill development regulations, special use permits, and accessory dwelling units, which provide affordable housing development opportunities. Additionally, staff is working with the Planning Commission to develop affordable housing development incentives, to be presented to the City Council. This has been delayed due to current economic conditions. The City continues to work with the North Idaho Housing Coalition to establish these regulations and will enact at an appropriate time.

Barriers to Affordable Housing

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to eliminate barriers to affordable housing.

Perhaps the greatest barrier facing households and individuals trying to obtain homeownership is high unemployment rate, and limitations regarding home loans for low/moderate income persons. The City has an excellent record of working with local organizations such as Jobs Plus to promote the City as an optimal place to establish a business. North Idaho College and the Workforce Development Center provide opportunities for individuals to upgrade and improve employment skills. The City is working with the University of Idaho, Lewis and Clark State College and Idaho State University to create an education corridor and better opportunities for residents to reach a level of education or expertise that will assist them to achieve living wage employment.

HOME/ American Dream Down Payment Initiative (ADDI)

1. Assessment of Relationship of HOME Funds to Goals and Objectives

a. Evaluate progress made toward meeting goals for providing affordable housing using HOME funds, including the number and types of households served.

The City of Coeur d'Alene does not receive HOME funds.

2. HOME Match Report

a. Use HOME Match Report HUD-40107-A to report on match contributions for the period covered by the Consolidated Plan program year.

The City of Coeur d'Alene does not receive HOME funds.

3. HOME MBE and WBE Report

a. Use Part III of HUD Form 40107 to report contracts and subcontracts with Minority Business Enterprises (MBEs) and Women's Business Enterprises (WBEs).

The City of Coeur d'Alene does not receive HOME funds.

4. Assessments

- a. Detail results of on-site inspections of rental housing.
- b. Describe the HOME jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions.
- c. Describe outreach to minority and women owned businesses.

The City of Coeur d'Alene does not receive HOME funds.

HOMELESS

Homeless Needs

*Please also refer to the Homeless Needs Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Identify actions taken to address needs of homeless persons.

The City receives no funding beyond their CDBG Entitlement for homelessness activities. St. Vincent de Paul North Idaho distributes McKinney-Vento funding through the Supportive Housing Program (SHP), Shelter Plus Care Program (S+C) and Emergency Shelter Grant Program (ESG). The amount spent for the Coeur d'Alene area within Plan Year 2010 was \$309,809. Approximately \$854,000 (to be spent over a three year period) HPRP funds were granted to St. Vincent de Paul. Under this grant St. Vincent's has been tracking accomplishments in two categories, under the homeless prevention portion of the program, 349 individuals have been served, under the rapid rehousing portion 209 individuals have been served. Additionally, St. Vincent de Paul operates a project called Angel Arms that provides funding for 10 single unit apartments for chronically homeless individuals. The program also provides intensive weekly case management, which begins with a self-sufficiency plan that includes job training services, substance abuse counseling, Life Skills classes, parenting classes, financial literacy classes, etc.

The City has awarded \$44,600 to St. Vincent de Paul for a CDBG funded Community Grant project under the 2009 allocation, to be implemented during calendar year 2010, which has provided housing to three families. St. Vincent de Paul will provide transitional housing for three homeless families and intensive weekly case management, which begins with a self-sufficiency plan. Each family will be housed for a year unless they fulfill their goals through the program, at which time a new family could be brought into the program for the duration of the project period. St. Vincent de Paul also plans to furnish the apartments with beds, living room furniture and kitchen items since the clients are homeless, with few if any possessions. The grant will also fund a part-time case manager to assist with the self-sufficiency and progress of the families.

The annual point-in-time count, found there are 559 homeless individuals on the street, in shelters, and transitional housing. There are \$6.5 million in services already being provided in Coeur d'Alene and the surrounding community (from 40 agencies/businesses - data spreadsheet available on request). Approximately 1,300 volunteers assisted in providing services to the homeless, including warming shelters, which open when the temperature falls below 20 degrees. Aggregate statistics are collected for Kootenai County not just Coeur d'Alene, but Coeur d'Alene is coordinating and leading this effort. Housing First, administered by St. Vincent de Paul, is a model that has been promoted by the Federal

Government and as implemented will be customized for the local realities in Coeur d'Alene.

The City has worked closely with local organizations such as St. Vincent de Paul, North Idaho Housing Coalition, the Committee to End Homelessness, IHFA, the Regional Homeless Coalition (Continuum of Care), and other government and non-profit organizations to identify areas of need and appropriate activities to mitigate the problems as resources allow.

2. Identify actions to help homeless persons make the transition to permanent housing and independent living.

The new H.E.L.P. Center is an excellent start to this process. An innovative partnership lead by St. Vincent de Paul provides office space and basic operating functions in a "one stop shop" so that LMI persons can make inquiries and receive services from employment searches to housing assistance to basic health care. The obstacles to meeting underserved needs are as varied as the individuals who seek assistance. As organizations and agencies record program activities including successes and failures, adjustments are made to the process, to incorporate the most effective methodologies and modify or eliminate those that are not working. The process is on going and flexible (within the confines of established regulations) as possible to address the conditions and circumstances adherent to Coeur d'Alene.

3. Identify new Federal resources obtained from Homeless SuperNOFA.

The City of Coeur d'Alene did not apply for funding under the Homeless Super NOFA.

Specific Homeless Prevention Elements

1. Identify actions taken to prevent homelessness.

The City's 10 Year Plan to End Homelessness describes the following approaches to combat homelessness in the Coeur d'Alene area:

- ▶ Develop better data collection and coordination of agency efforts
- ▶ Identify and increase the inventory of available affordable housing stock
- ▶ Improve economic development opportunities and coordinate the response among local governments
- ▶ Deploy the Housing First model for Permanent Supportive Housing
- ▶ Create a "One-Stop-Shop" to end the practice of having individuals who are struggling and have no transportation, from being "bounced" from one agency (and location) to the next when seeking services.
- ▶ Increase community awareness to draw new and concerned voices to the table to help win the battle against homelessness.

Realistically, homelessness is a chronic problem and will never be totally eliminated. Some barriers to ending homelessness such as poor and non-existent housing stock and lack of jobs can be addressed by local governments, though correcting those problems are not quick or easy fixes.

Barriers created or exacerbated by the homeless individuals are varied, as are the methods to mitigate those barriers. Lack of education, job training, and financial management skills can be provided through government-funded programs; the success of those efforts is unpredictable and not always permanent, and depends on a certain level of commitment by the homeless individual.

Barriers involving health and mental health issues require different, and often more expensive resources, and a greater commitment to change by the homeless person. With more resources being cut from the state budget, it is harder for local service organizations to continue to serve this population.

Plans and programs can provide resources, encouragement, even a system of rewards or punishments, but no one solution will work for everyone. The City and other partners in the Plan to End Homelessness will concentrate their efforts on helping as many persons as possible, using all resources at their disposal.

Coeur d'Alene School District #271 has identified over 290 students that have been determined to be homeless during this past school year, which is up 50 from their last count. Additionally, 3,599 students receive free lunch, with another 948 participating in the reduced lunch program, which is approximately 47% of the students (not including kindergarten). The School District has taken steps to assist families in working with existing community resources and state resource service providers. It is and will continue to be important to work together to surround the families with needed services and long-term housing opportunities. Housing is very important to the child's ability to function in the educational environment.

The Coeur d'Alene School District is continually working toward removing barriers to education caused by homelessness and poverty.

Goals/Benchmarks:

*To end homelessness for children within the School District
Strategies:*

- ▶ The School District will continue to work with existing organizations to seek resources to house families and provide long term needed assistance.

*Break the generational cycle of homelessness and poverty
Strategies:*

- ▶ The School District will work with the homeless coalition and existing organization to establish a job-training program for parents as well as youth.
- ▶ Continue to provide and increase the number of after school programs (i.e., CDA for Kids).

*Educate children and families to look at long-term goals
Strategies:*

- ▶ A financial literacy program would help to educate families to look toward long-term goals.

*Continue to train the staff at SD #271 regarding the needs of homeless students.
Strategies:*

- ▶ Provide training materials and contact information

Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG)

- 1. Identify actions to address emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless individuals and families (including significant subpopulations such as those living on the streets).**
- 2. Assessment of Relationship of ESG Funds to Goals and Objectives**
 - a. Evaluate progress made in using ESG funds to address homeless and homeless prevention needs, goals, and specific objectives established in the Consolidated Plan.**
 - b. Detail how ESG projects are related to implementation of comprehensive homeless planning strategy, including the number and types of individuals and persons in households served with ESG funds.**
- 3. Matching Resources**
 - a. Provide specific sources and amounts of new funding used to meet match as required by 42 USC 11375(a)(1), including cash resources, grants, and staff salaries, as well as in-kind contributions such as the value of a building or lease, donated materials, or volunteer time.**
- 4. State Method of Distribution**
 - a. States must describe their method of distribution and how it rated and selected its local government agencies and private nonprofit organizations acting as subrecipients.**
- 5. Activity and Beneficiary Data**
 - a. Completion of attached Emergency Shelter Grant Program Performance Chart or other reports showing ESGP expenditures by type of activity. Also describe any problems in collecting, reporting, and evaluating the reliability of this information.**
 - b. Homeless Discharge Coordination**
 - i. As part of the government developing and implementing a homeless discharge coordination policy, ESG homeless prevention funds may be used to assist very-low income individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless after being released from publicly funded institutions such as health care facilities, foster care or other youth facilities, or corrections institutions or programs.**
 - c. Explain how your government is instituting a homeless discharge coordination policy, and how ESG homeless prevention funds are being used in this effort.**

The Emergency Shelter Grant Program (ESG) is managed by St. Vincent de Paul. The 2010 funding for the Coeur d'Alene area for all programs including the Supportive Housing Program (SHP) and Shelter Plus Care Program (S+C) was \$309,809.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Community Development

*Please also refer to the Community Development Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Assessment of Relationship of CDBG Funds to Goals and Objectives

- a. Assess use of CDBG funds in relation to the priorities, needs, goals, and specific objectives in the Consolidated Plan, particularly the highest priority activities.**

All of the activities conducted by the City under the Entitlement Program address one of the five goals as identified in the City's Consolidated Plan.

- b. Evaluate progress made toward meeting goals for providing affordable housing using CDBG funds, including the number and types of households served.**

With the limited funds available, the City has utilized their ability to support projects and form partnerships to work toward their goals of increasing the supply of for-sale and rental housing for low-and-moderate income persons.

As funding allows the City will continue to encourage future development through the use of CDBG funds for land acquisition.

- c. Indicate the extent to which CDBG funds were used for activities that benefited extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons.**

All program activities under the City's Entitlement program, except Administration, benefit low-to-moderate income person.

Funds awarded through the Community Grant Opportunity program, \$44,600 to St. Vincent de Paul to house homeless families assists extremely low-income persons (three families have been assisted).

Funds utilized for sidewalk repair/replacement and code enforcement were expended in LMI by census tract neighborhoods, beneficiaries range from extremely low to moderate income.

Funds expended under the EMRAP program assisted low-income homeowners (9 housing units).

2. Changes in Program Objectives

- a. Identify the nature of and the reasons for any changes in program objectives and how the jurisdiction would change its program as a result of its experiences.**

The Community Grant Opportunity encourages participation of local agencies, organizations and businesses and used to seek new ideas to further the City's

goals through open competition. The City awarded two years of projects for pre-planning purposes, which was extremely beneficial in establishing the Plan Year 2011 Action Plan budget.

3. Assessment of Efforts in Carrying Out Planned Actions

a. Indicate how grantee pursued all resources indicated in the Consolidated Plan.

The City identified stakeholders and potential partners during the development of the Consolidated Plan. Attendance at meetings and the program's established public review/hearing process assure communication and cooperation during planning and implementation of projects.

b. Indicate how grantee provided certifications of consistency in a fair and impartial manner.

The City of Coeur d'Alene is not a Public Housing Authority and does not provide certification of consistency.

c. Indicate how grantee did not hinder Consolidated Plan implementation by action or willful inaction.

The City utilizes the five goals established in the Consolidated Plan as the basis for development of the annual Action Plan. The Community Grant Opportunity program increases the City's ability to address each of the goals, and better create projects that will address the most pressing needs, and benefit the greatest number of residents. The City utilized volunteer citizen committee members to review the grant applications and make recommendations to the City Council.

4. For Funds Not Used for National Objectives

a. Indicate how use of CDBG funds did not meet national objectives.

All funds expended under the City's Entitlement Program are used to meet a National Objective, 85% directly for the implementation of projects, 15% for administration of the program.

b. Indicate how did not comply with overall benefit certification.

Not applicable.

5. Anti-displacement and Relocation – for activities that involve acquisition, rehabilitation or demolition of occupied real property

a. Describe steps actually taken to minimize the amount of displacement resulting from the CDBG-assisted activities.

There was no displacement resulting from a CDBG assisted activity.

b. Describe steps taken to identify households, businesses, farms or nonprofit organizations who occupied properties subject to the

Uniform Relocation Act or Section 104(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, and whether or not they were displaced, and the nature of their needs and preferences.

There was no displacement resulting from a CDBG assisted activity.

- c. Describe steps taken to ensure the timely issuance of information notices to displaced households, businesses, farms, or nonprofit organizations.**

There was no displacement resulting from a CDBG assisted activity.

- 6. Low/Mod Job Activities – for economic development activities undertaken where jobs were made available but not taken by low- or moderate-income persons**

- a. Describe actions taken by grantee and businesses to ensure first consideration was or will be given to low/mod persons.**

The City has not identified any specific Job Activity projects, but this remains a high priority.

- b. List by job title of all the permanent jobs created/retained and those that were made available to low/mod persons.**

The City has not identified any specific Job Activity projects, but this remains a high priority.

- c. If any of jobs claimed as being available to low/mod persons require special skill, work experience, or education, provide a description of steps being taken or that will be taken to provide such skills, experience, or education.**

The City has not identified any specific Job Activity projects, but this remains a high priority.

- 7. Low/Mod Limited Clientele Activities – for activities not falling within one of the categories of presumed limited clientele low and moderate income benefit**

- a. Describe how the nature, location, or other information demonstrates the activities benefit a limited clientele at least 51% of whom are low- and moderate-income.**

Most of the projects undertaken by the City have been identified as directly benefitting low-to-moderate income persons. One limited clientele project was undertaken with the CAP housing counseling program for those who are homeless or undergoing foreclosure. Additionally, the City is in the process of determining if the Lake City Senior Center grant can qualify as a limited clientele project.

8. Program income received

- a. Detail the amount of program income reported that was returned to each individual revolving fund, e.g., housing rehabilitation, economic development, or other type of revolving fund.
- b. Detail the amount repaid on each float-funded activity.
- c. Detail all other loan repayments broken down by the categories of housing rehabilitation, economic development, or other.
- d. Detail the amount of income received from the sale of property by parcel.

The City has received no program income; there are no projects planned that would generate program income.

9. Prior period adjustments – where reimbursement was made this reporting period for expenditures (made in previous reporting periods) that have been disallowed, provide the following information:

- a. The activity name and number as shown in IDIS;
- b. The program year(s) in which the expenditure(s) for the disallowed activity(ies) was reported;
- c. The amount returned to line-of-credit or program account; and
- d. Total amount to be reimbursed and the time period over which the reimbursement is to be made, if the reimbursement is made with multi-year payments.

The City has not requested reimbursement for a disallowed expenditure.

10. Loans and other receivables

- a. List the principal balance for each float-funded activity outstanding as of the end of the reporting period and the date(s) by which the funds are expected to be received.
- b. List the total number of other loans outstanding and the principal balance owed as of the end of the reporting period.
- c. List separately the total number of outstanding loans that are deferred or forgivable, the principal balance owed as of the end of the reporting period, and the terms of the deferral or forgiveness.
- d. Detail the total number and amount of loans made with CDBG funds that have gone into default and for which the balance was forgiven or written off during the reporting period.
- e. Provide a List of the parcels of property owned by the grantee or its subrecipients that have been acquired or improved using CDBG funds and that are available for sale as of the end of the reporting period.

The City has not established a loan program, and has received no loan payments.

11. Lump sum agreements

- a. Provide the name of the financial institution.
- b. Provide the date the funds were deposited.
- c. Provide the date the use of funds commenced.

d. Provide the percentage of funds disbursed within 180 days of deposit in the institution.

Not applicable

12. Housing Rehabilitation – for each type of rehabilitation program for which projects/units were reported as completed during the program year

a. Identify the type of program and number of projects/units completed for each program.

The City of Coeur d'Alene developed an Emergency Minor Repair and Accessibility Program to help low-to-moderate income homeowners correct building conditions that threaten the health and safety, or the soundness of their home or added needed accessibility features. Examples of assistance include, but are not necessarily limited to the following: inoperable hot water heater, inadequate wiring or plumbing, leaking roof, sagging, and unsupported floors, access ramp, sewer and waterline repair, roof repair, furnace replacement and electrical upgrade to meet City codes. The following chart identifies the number of households receiving assistance to correct conditions:

Program Year	# Households
2008	13
2009	9
2010	9
Total:	31

b. Provide the total CDBG funds involved in the program.

In PY 2008, \$37,519.70 was expended for the EMRAP Program, \$34,912 for PY 2009. The Action Plan for 2010 identified \$40,000 for the program. To date, \$34,365.85 has been spent out of the PY 2010 budget, with one pending project, that will zero out this line item within the next 3 months.

c. Detail other public and private funds involved in the project.

Low-to-Moderate Income homeowners, living within the city limits may be request assistance under the EMRAP program, eligibility is determined during processing of the application. The maximum grant allowed for each project is \$6,000; if the necessary repairs exceed that amount, the homeowner must pay the difference directly to the contractor.

13. Neighborhood Revitalization Strategies – for grantees that have HUD-approved neighborhood revitalization strategies

a. Describe progress against benchmarks for the program year. For grantees with Federally-designated EZs or ECs that received HUD approval for a neighborhood revitalization strategy, reports that are required as part of the EZ/EC process shall suffice for purposes of reporting progress.

Not applicable

Antipoverty Strategy

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to reduce the number of persons living below the poverty level.

The City's anti-poverty strategy recognizes that individuals and their situations differ; there are those individuals who are capable of being gainfully employed and those who are not. Persons with debilitating diseases, persons with disabilities, and frail elderly are often limited in their ability to generate household income through employment. On the other hand, full-time employment does not always provide sufficient income to lift a household out of poverty, and income assistance can become a disincentive to work.

The City has committed to a number of strategies to help reduce poverty. Through partnerships with organizations such as Jobs Plus, and improvements to infrastructure including sidewalk repair/replacement, road improvements at Neider and Howard, revitalization of the 4th Street Mid-Town area, the City actively supports economic development efforts.

The City promotes workforce development and has been a strong supporter of the proposed education corridor, which will provide access for local residents to four (University of Idaho, North Idaho College, Lewis and Clark State College, Idaho State University) institutions of higher learning. Additionally the Workforce Development Center offers job training and adult education opportunities beyond standardized secondary education. The educational corridor is projected to increase jobs available for educators, maintenance staff, and the construction industry throughout its development.

Providing services to at-risk youth is another priority for the City. Coeur d'Alene is diligent in seeking the best childcare regulations and encouraging growth of the industry so that working families can find affordable childcare. The City supports the local Head Start agency and agrees that education is an important step in eliminating the cycle of poverty. The City is pleased to have been a partner in the project to construct a Kroc Center in Coeur d'Alene. The Center has exceeded anticipated enrollment several times over since its opening. The Center has a sliding scale for fees, allowing low-moderate income families and individuals to benefit from the Center's many programs at little or no cost.

The City of Coeur d'Alene is a partner (providing an annual contribution of approximately \$50,000) with the Coeur d'Alene Tribe and other local jurisdictions in a regional bus system, City Link. Mid-size buses, approximately 32 passenger, run established routes from the southern most point of the reservation at US 95 to Coeur d'Alene, Hayden, Post Falls and Rathdrum. Three routes have been established, two in the urban areas, and a third (the rural route) which connects the populations centers with the regions to the south. There is no cost to ride City Link buses, which are accessible by ramp for persons who are physically unable to enter by the stairs, and equipped with bicycle racks for those are combining modes of transportation. The City is in the process of establishing a bus stop in close proximity at the H.E.L.P. Center.

NON-HOMELESS SPECIAL NEEDS

Non-homeless Special Needs

*Please also refer to the Non-homeless Special Needs Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Identify actions taken to address special needs of persons that are not homeless but require supportive housing, (including persons with HIV/AIDS and their families).

The City used CDBG funds in combination with local funds to purchase property at 102 and 106 Homestead Avenue with the intent to partner with St. Vincent de Paul for another HUD 811 grant. If successful, this will provide 13 units of low-income housing to those with chronic mental illness. The 811 grant request will be submitted in June 2011.

The City attends the quarterly IHFA Housing Roundtable meetings to maintain communication with agencies, organizations, and businesses who provide supportive housing for persons with special needs.

Specific HOPWA Objectives

*Please also refer to the HOPWA Table in the Needs.xls workbook.

1. Overall Assessment of Relationship of HOPWA Funds to Goals and Objectives

Grantees should demonstrate through the CAPER and related IDIS reports the progress they are making at accomplishing identified goals and objectives with HOPWA funding. Grantees should demonstrate:

- a. **That progress is being made toward meeting the HOPWA goal for providing affordable housing using HOPWA funds and other resources for persons with HIV/AIDS and their families through a comprehensive community plan;**
- b. **That community-wide HIV/AIDS housing strategies are meeting HUD's national goal of increasing the availability of decent, safe, and affordable housing for low-income persons living with HIV/AIDS;**
- c. **That community partnerships between State and local governments and community-based non-profits are creating models and innovative strategies to serve the housing and related supportive service needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families;**
- d. **That through community-wide strategies Federal, State, local, and other resources are matched with HOPWA funding to create comprehensive housing strategies;**
- e. **That community strategies produce and support actual units of housing for persons living with HIV/AIDS; and finally,**
- f. **That community strategies identify and supply related supportive services in conjunction with housing to ensure the needs of persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families are met.**

Not applicable, the City does not receive HOPWA funds.

-
2. This should be accomplished by providing an executive summary (1-5 pages) that includes:
- a. Grantee Narrative
 - i. Grantee and Community Overview
 - (1) A brief description of your organization, the area of service, the name of each project sponsor and a broad overview of the range/type of housing activities and related services
 - (2) How grant management oversight of project sponsor activities is conducted and how project sponsors are selected
 - (3) A description of the local jurisdiction, its need, and the estimated number of persons living with HIV/AIDS
 - (4) A brief description of the planning and public consultations involved in the use of HOPWA funds including reference to any appropriate planning document or advisory body
 - (5) What other resources were used in conjunction with HOPWA funded activities, including cash resources and in-kind contributions, such as the value of services or materials provided by volunteers or by other individuals or organizations
 - (6) Collaborative efforts with related programs including coordination and planning with clients, advocates, Ryan White CARE Act planning bodies, AIDS Drug Assistance Programs, homeless assistance programs, or other efforts that assist persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families.
 - ii. Project Accomplishment Overview
 - (1) A brief summary of all housing activities broken down by three types: emergency or short-term rent, mortgage or utility payments to prevent homelessness; rental assistance; facility based housing, including development cost, operating cost for those facilities and community residences
 - (2) The number of units of housing which have been created through acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction since 1993 with any HOPWA funds
 - (3) A brief description of any unique supportive service or other service delivery models or efforts
 - (4) Any other accomplishments recognized in your community due to the use of HOPWA funds, including any projects in developmental stages that are not operational.
 - iii. Barriers or Trends Overview
 - (1) Describe any barriers encountered, actions in response to barriers, and recommendations for program improvement
 - (2) Trends you expect your community to face in meeting the needs of persons with HIV/AIDS, and
 - (3) Any other information you feel may be important as you look at providing services to persons with HIV/AIDS in the next 5-10 years

Not applicable, the City does not receive HOPWA funds.

b. Accomplishment Data

- i. Completion of CAPER Performance Chart 1 of Actual Performance in the provision of housing (Table II-1 to be submitted with CAPER).**
- ii. Completion of CAPER Performance Chart 2 of Comparison to Planned Housing Actions (Table II-2 to be submitted with CAPER).**

Not applicable, the City does not receive HOPWA funds.

OTHER NARRATIVE

Include any CAPER information that was not covered by narratives in any other section.

Attachment "E" additionally provides HUD Tables 2A, 3A, 2B, 3B, 1C, 2C.

Attachment "A"

Mill River Senior Apartments



Attachment "B"
City of Coeur d'Alene CDBG Entitlement Program PY10
Total Project Expenditure Summary

Budget Categories	Budget		City In Kind	TOTAL
	CDBG	% of allocation		
Administration - PAC	40,000.00	12%	0	40,000.12
Administration - City	10,475.00	3%	0	10,475.03
Activity 1 Increase Housing Purchase - Mill River	100,000.00	30%	0	100,000.30
Activity 1 Increase Housing Purchase - Homestead Land Acq	25,000.00	7%	0	25,000.07
Activity 2 Community Project - Comm Action Partnership	46,000.00	14%	0	46,000.14
Activity 2 Community Project - Whitewater Mill River Seniors	40,000.00	12%	0	40,000.12
Activity 2 Community Project - Whitewater Riverstone	10,000.00	3%	0	10,000.03
Activity 2 Community Project - Lake City Senior Ctr-PS	4,400.00	1%	0	4,400.01
Activity 3 Sidewalks	20,600.00	6%	0	20,600.06
Activity 4 EMRAP	40,000.00	12%	0	40,000.12
Activity 5 Economic Development	0	0%	0	0
Audit	0	0%	0	0
Total Line Item:	336,475.00	1	0	336,476.00
Budget Categories	Expenditures to Date		City In Kind	TOTAL
	CDBG	City Cash		
Administration - PAC	40,000.00	0	0	40,000.00
Administration - City	8,457.78	0	0	8,457.78
Activity 1 Increase Housing Purchase - Mill River	100,000.00	0	0	100,000.00
Activity 1 Increase Housing Purchase - Homestead Land Acq	25,000.00	0	0	25,000.00
Activity 2 Community Project - Comm Action Partnership	21,205.00	0	0	21,205.00
Activity 2 Community Project - Whitewater Mill River Seniors	40,000.00	0	0	40,000.00
Activity 2 Community Project - Whitewater Riverstone	0	0	0	0
Activity 2 Community Project - Lake City Senior Ctr-PS	0	0	0	0

Attachment "B" Cont.

Activity 3 Sidewalks	0	0	0	0
	34,365.85	0	0	34,365.85
Activity 4 EMRAP				
Activity 5 Economic Development		0	0	0
Audit	0	0	0	0
Total Line Item:	269,028.63	0	0	269,028.63
	Total Project Dollars Remaining			
Budget Categories	CDBG	City Cash	City In Kind	TOTAL
Administration - PAC	0	0	0	0
Administration - City	2,017.22	0	0	2,017.22
Activity 1 Increase Housing Purchase - Mill River	0	0	0	0
Activity 1 Increase Housing Purchase - Homestead Land Acq	0	0	0	0
Activity 2 Community Project - Comm Action Partnership	24,795.00	0	0	24,795.00
Activity 2 Community Project - Whitewater Mill River Seniors	0	0	0	0
Activity 2 Community Project - Whitewater Riverstone	10,000.00	0	0	10,000.00
Activity 2 Community Project - Lake City Senior Ctr-PS	4,400.00	0	0	4,400.00
Activity 3 Sidewalks	20,600.00	0	0	20,600.00
Activity 4 EMRAP	5,634.15	0	0	5,634.15
Activity 5 Economic Development	0	0	0	0
Audit	0	0	0	0
Total Line Item:	67,446.37	0	0	67,446.37

Attachment "D" Cont.

IDIS

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
OFFICE OF COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
PR 28 - CDBG Financial Summary Report

DATE: 5/12/2011
TIME: 3:45:25 pm
PAGE: 1/2

Grantee	COEUR D'ALENE , ID
Program Year	2010
PART I: SUMMARY OF CDBG RESOURCES	
01 UNEXPENDED CDBG FUNDS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	194,950.99
02 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	336,475.00
03 SURPLUS URBAN RENEWAL	0.00
04 SECTION 108 GUARANTEED LOAN FUNDS	0.00
05 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	0.00
06 RETURNS	0.00
07 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AVAILABLE	0.00
08 TOTAL AVAILABLE (SUM, LINES 01-07)	531,425.99
PART II: SUMMARY OF CDBG EXPENDITURES	
09 DISBURSEMENTS OTHER THAN SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS AND PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	366,801.05
10 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT	0.00
11 AMOUNT SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT (LINE 09 + LINE 10)	366,801.05
12 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	49,620.70
13 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR SECTION 108 REPAYMENTS	0.00
14 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL EXPENDITURES	0.00
15 TOTAL EXPENDITURES (SUM, LINES 11-14)	416,421.75
16 UNEXPENDED BALANCE (LINE 08 - LINE 15)	115,004.24
PART III: LOWMOD BENEFIT THIS REPORTING PERIOD	
17 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD HOUSING IN SPECIAL AREAS	0.00
18 EXPENDED FOR LOW/MOD MULTI-UNIT HOUSING	0.00
19 DISBURSED FOR OTHER LOW/MOD ACTIVITIES	366,801.05
20 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT	0.00
21 TOTAL LOW/MOD CREDIT (SUM, LINES 17-20)	366,801.05
22 PERCENT LOW/MOD CREDIT (LINE 21/LINE 11)	100.00%
LOW/MOD BENEFIT FOR MULTI-YEAR CERTIFICATIONS	
23 PROGRAM YEARS(PY) COVERED IN CERTIFICATION	PY: PY: PY:
24 CUMULATIVE NET EXPENDITURES SUBJECT TO LOW/MOD BENEFIT CALCULATION	0.00
25 CUMULATIVE EXPENDITURES BENEFITING LOW/MOD PERSONS	0.00
26 PERCENT BENEFIT TO LOW/MOD PERSONS (LINE 25/LINE 24)	0.00%
PART IV: PUBLIC SERVICE (PS) CAP CALCULATIONS	
27 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PUBLIC SERVICES	34,300.12

Attachment "D" Cont.

IDIS

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
OFFICE OF COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
PR 26 - CDBG Financial Summary Report

DATE: 5/12/2011
TIME: 3:45:25 pm
PAGE: 2/2

28 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	24,795.00
29 PS UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	13,095.12
30 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS	0.00
31 TOTAL PS OBLIGATIONS (LINE 27 + LINE 28 - LINE 29 + LINE 30)	46,000.00
32 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	336,475.00
33 PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	0.00
34 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP	0.00
35 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PS CAP (SUM, LINES 32-34)	336,475.00
36 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PS ACTIVITIES (LINE 31/LINE 35)	13.67%
PART V: PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION (PA) CAP	
37 DISBURSED IN IDIS FOR PLANNING/ADMINISTRATION	49,620.70
38 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF CURRENT PROGRAM YEAR	2,017.22
39 PA UNLIQUIDATED OBLIGATIONS AT END OF PREVIOUS PROGRAM YEAR	1,162.92
40 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS	0.00
41 TOTAL PA OBLIGATIONS (LINE 37 + LINE 38 - LINE 39 +LINE 40)	50,475.00
42 ENTITLEMENT GRANT	336,475.00
43 CURRENT YEAR PROGRAM INCOME	0.00
44 ADJUSTMENT TO COMPUTE TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP	0.00
45 TOTAL SUBJECT TO PA CAP (SUM, LINES 42-44)	336,475.00
46 PERCENT FUNDS OBLIGATED FOR PA ACTIVITIES (LINE 41/LINE 45)	15.00%

Attachment "D" Cont.

IDIS

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
 OFFICE OF COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
 PR23 (1 of 7) - Count of CDBG Activities with Disbursements by Activity Group &
 Matrix Code

DATE: 5/12/2011
 TIME: 6:32:27 pm
 PAGE: 1/1

Activity Group	Activity Category	Underway Count	Underway Activities Disbursed	Completed Count	Completed Activities Disbursed	Program Year Count	Total Activities Disbursed
Acquisition	Acquisition of Real Property (01)	3	\$200,000.00	0	\$0.00	3	\$200,000.00
		3	\$200,000.00	0	\$0.00	3	\$200,000.00
Housing	Rehab; Single-Unit Residential (14A)	0	\$0.00	15	\$44,175.49	15	\$44,175.49
	Lead-Based/Lead Hazard Test/Abate (14I)	0	\$0.00	1	\$0.00	1	\$0.00
		0	\$0.00	16	\$44,175.49	16	\$44,175.49
Public Facilities and Improvements	Public Facilities and Improvement (General) (03)	3	\$40,000.00	0	\$0.00	3	\$40,000.00
	Water/Sewer Improvements (03J)	1	\$45,400.00	0	\$0.00	1	\$45,400.00
	Street Improvements (03K)	0	\$0.00	1	\$0.00	1	\$0.00
	Sidewalks (03L)	3	\$2,925.44	0	\$0.00	3	\$2,925.44
		7	\$88,325.44	1	\$0.00	8	\$88,325.44
Public Services	Public Services (General) (05)	2	\$17,898.12	0	\$0.00	2	\$17,898.12
	Housing Counseling (05U)	1	\$16,402.00	0	\$0.00	1	\$16,402.00
		3	\$34,300.12	0	\$0.00	3	\$34,300.12
General Administration and Planning	General Program Administration (21A)	3	\$39,620.70	1	\$10,000.00	4	\$49,620.70
		3	\$39,620.70	1	\$10,000.00	4	\$49,620.70
		16	\$362,246.26	18	\$54,175.49	34	\$416,421.75

Attachment "D" Cont.

IDIS

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
 OFFICE OF COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
 PR23 (2 of 7) - CDBG Sum of Actual Accomplishments by Activity Group and
 Accomplishment Type

DATE: 5/12/2011
 TIME: 6:34:08 pm
 PAGE: 1/1

Activity Group	Matrix Code	Accomplishment Type	Open Count	Completed Count	Program Year Totals
Acquisition	Acquisition of Real Property (01)	Housing Units	0	0	0
			0	0	0
Housing	Rehab; Single-Unit Residential (14A)	Housing Units	0	13	13
			0	13	13
Public Facilities and Improvements	Public Facilities and Improvement (General) (03)	Persons	0	0	0
		Housing Units	0	0	0
	Water/Sewer Improvements (03J)	Housing Units	0	0	0
	Street Improvements (03K)	Housing Units	0	14	14
	Sidewalks (03L)	Persons	2,046	0	2,046
		Public Facilities	4,185	0	4,185
			6,231	14	6,245
Public Services	Public Services (General) (05)	Persons	5	0	5
	Housing Counseling (05U)	Households	0	0	0
			5	0	5
			6,236	27	6,263

Attachment "D" Cont.

IDIS

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
OFFICE OF COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
PR23 (3 of 7) - CDBG Beneficiaries by Racial / Ethnic Category

DATE: 5/12/2011
TIME: 6:35:17 pm
PAGE: 1/1

Housing-Non Housing	Race	Total		Total	
		Persons	Hispanic Persons	Households	Hispanic Households
Housing	White	0	0	13	0
	Total	0	0	13	0
Non Housing	White	5	0	12	1
	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0	0	2	0
	Total	5	0	14	1
Total	White	5	0	25	1
	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0	0	2	0
	Total	5	0	27	1

IDIS

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
OFFICE OF COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
PR23 (4 of 7) - CDBG Beneficiaries by Income Category

DATE: 5/12/2011
TIME: 6:38:24 pm
PAGE: 1/1

Income Levels	Owner		Renter	
	Occupied	Persons	Occupied	Persons
Housing	Extremely Low (<=30%)	3	0	0
	Low (>30% and <=50%)	8	0	0
	Mod (>50% and <=80%)	2	0	0
	Total Low-Mod	13	0	0
	Non Low-Mod (>80%)	0	0	0
	Total Beneficiaries	13	0	0
Non Housing	Extremely Low (<=30%)	0	0	5
	Low (>30% and <=50%)	0	0	0
	Mod (>50% and <=80%)	0	0	0
	Total Low-Mod	0	0	5
	Non Low-Mod (>80%)	0	0	0
	Total Beneficiaries	0	0	5

Attachment "E"
Priority Housing Needs/Investment Plan Table
 (Table 2A)

Priority Need	5-Yr. Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 1 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 2 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 3 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 4 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 5 Goal Plan/Act
Renters						
0 - 30 of MFI	0	0	0	0		
31 - 50% of MFI	0	0	0	0		
51 - 80% of MFI	0	0	0	0		
Owners						
0 - 30 of MFI	0	0	0	0		
31 - 50 of MFI	0	0	0	0		
51 - 80% of MFI	0	0	0	0		
Homeless*						
Individuals	0	0	0	0		
Families	0	0	2	0		
Non-Homeless						
Special Needs						
Elderly	0	0	0	0		
Frail Elderly	0	0	0	0		
Severe Mental Illness	0	0	14	0		
Physical Disability	0	0	0	0		
Developmental Disability	0	0	0	0		
Alcohol or Drug Abuse	0	0	0	0		
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0		
Victims of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	0		
Total (Sec. 215 and other)						
Total Sec. 215						
215 Renter	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
215 Owner	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		

* Homeless individuals and families assisted with transitional and permanent housing

Attachment "E" Cont.
Priority Housing Activities/Investment Plan Table
 (Table 2A)

Priority Need	5-Yr. Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 1 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 2 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 3 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 4 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 5 Goal Plan/Act
CDBG						
Acquisition of existing rental units	0	0	0	0		
Production of new rental units	0	0	0	0		
Rehabilitation of existing rental units	0	0	0	0		
Rental assistance	0	0	0	0		
Acquisition of existing owner units	0	0	0	0		
Production of new owner units	0	0	0	0		
Rehabilitation of existing owner units	0	7	6	0		
Homeownership assistance	0	0	0	0		
HOME						
Acquisition of existing rental units	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Production of new rental units	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Rehabilitation of existing rental units	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Rental assistance	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Acquisition of existing owner units	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Production of new owner units	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Rehabilitation of existing owner units	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Homeownership assistance	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
HOPWA						
Rental assistance	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Short term rent/mortgage utility payments	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Facility based housing development	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Facility based housing operations	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Supportive services	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Other						

Attachment "E" Cont.

**Table 3A
Summary of Specific Annual Objectives**

Obj #	Specific Objectives	Source s of Funds	Performance Indicators	Expecte d Number	Actual Number	Outcome / Objectiv e*
Rental Housing Objectives						
H-2	Increase the supply of rental housing affordable to extremely low income renters/residents with special needs/homeless	CDBG	Land acquisition (LMH) 106 & 102 Homestead Avenue; Mill River Sr. Apt. and Riverstone Apt. Engineering/ Architecture	2010-10	2010-8	DH-2
Owner Housing Objectives						
H-4	Continue neighborhood revitalization efforts including code enforcement and improving the condition of housing for low to moderate-income residents.	CDBG	Emergency Minor Repair Program (LMH)	2010-6	2010-9	SL-3
Homeless Objectives						
Special Needs Objectives						
H-2	Increase the supply of rental housing affordable to extremely low income renters/residents with special needs/homeless	CDBG				
Community Development Objectives						
Infrastructure Objectives						
Public Facilities Objectives						
Public Services Objectives						
H-1	Housing Counseling Services	CDBG	Benefit LMI residents/potentia l and actual homeowners (LMC)	2010-100	2010- 23	DH-3
Economic Development Objectives						
Other Objectives						
CD-3	Improve City Sidewalks to make more accessible to persons with disabilities	CDBG	Repair/Replace sidewalks in LMI neighborhood (LMA)	2010- 51.8% LMI	2010 -0	SL-3

Attachment "E" Cont.

Table 3A
Summary of Specific Annual Objectives
Continued

	Availability/Accessibility	Affordability	Sustainability
Decent Housing	DH-1	DH-2	DH-3
Suitable Living Environment	SL-1	SL-2	SL-3
Economic Opportunity	EO-1	EO-2	EO-3

Attachment "E" Cont.
Priority Community Development Activities
 (Table 2B)

Priority Need	5-Yr. Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 1 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 2 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 3 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 4 Goal Plan/Act	Yr. 5 Goal Plan/Act
Acquisition of Real Property	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Disposition						
Clearance and Demolition						
Clearance of Contaminated Sites						
Code Enforcement	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Public Facility (General)						
Senior Centers						
Handicapped Centers						
Homeless Facilities						
Youth Centers						
Neighborhood Facilities						
Child Care Centers						
Health Facilities						
Mental Health Facilities						
Parks and/or Recreation Facilities						
Parking Facilities						
Tree Planting						
Fire Stations/Equipment						
Abused/Neglected Children Facilities						
Asbestos Removal						
Non-Residential Historic Preservation						
Other Public Facility Needs						
Infrastructure (General)						
Water/Sewer Improvements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Street Improvements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Sidewalks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Solid Waste Disposal Improvements						
Flood Drainage Improvements						
Other Infrastructure						
Public Services (General)						
Senior Services						
Handicapped Services						
Legal Services						
Youth Services						
Child Care Services						
Transportation Services						
Substance Abuse Services						
Employment/Training Services						
Health Services						
Lead Hazard Screening						
Crime Awareness						
Fair Housing Activities						
Tenant Landlord Counseling						
Other Services						
Economic Development (General)						
C/I Land Acquisition/Disposition						
C/I Infrastructure Development						
C/I Building Acq/Const/Rehab						
Other C/I						
ED Assistance to For-Profit						
ED Technical Assistance						
Micro-enterprise Assistance						
Other						

Attachment "E" Cont.
Annual Housing Completion Goals
 (Table 3B)

Grantee Name: City of Coeur d'Alene, Idaho Program Year: 2010	Expected Annual Number of Units To Be Completed	Actual Annual Number of Units Completed	Resources used during the period			
			CDBG	HOME	ESG	HOPWA
BENEFICIARY GOALS (Sec. 215 Only)						
Homeless households	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-homeless households	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Special needs households	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Sec. 215 Beneficiaries*	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
RENTAL GOALS (Sec. 215 Only)						
Acquisition of existing units	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Production of new units	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Rehabilitation of existing units	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rental Assistance	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Sec. 215 Affordable Rental	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
HOME OWNER GOALS (Sec. 215 Only)						
Acquisition of existing units	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Production of new units	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Rehabilitation of existing units	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Homebuyer Assistance	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Sec. 215 Affordable Owner	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
COMBINED RENTAL AND OWNER GOALS (Sec. 215 Only)						
Acquisition of existing units	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Production of new units	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Rehabilitation of existing units	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rental Assistance	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Homebuyer Assistance	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Combined Total Sec. 215 Goals*	0	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
OVERALL HOUSING GOALS (Sec. 215 + Other Affordable Housing)						
Annual Rental Housing Goal	10	9	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Annual Owner Housing Goal	0	0	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Overall Housing Goal	10	9	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

* The total amounts for "Combined Total Sec. 215 Goals" and "Total Sec. 215 Beneficiary Goals" should be the same number.

**Attachment "E" Cont.
OUTCOME PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS**

**Table 1C
Summary of Specific Homeless/Special Needs Objectives**

#	Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Expected Number	Actual Number	Outcome/Objective*
	Homeless Objectives	CDBG	N/A			
	Special Needs Objectives	CDBG	N/A			
	Other Objectives	CDBG	N/A			

***Outcome/Objective Codes**

	Availability/Accessibility	Affordability	Sustainability
Decent Housing	DH-1	DH-2	DH-3
Suitable Living Environment	SL-1	SL-2	SL-3
Economic Opportunity	EO-1	EO-2	EO-3

**Attachment "E" Cont.
OUTCOME PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS
Table 2C**

Summary of Specific Housing/Community Development Objectives

#	Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Expected Number	Actual Number	Outcome/ Objective *
	Rental Housing					
	Increase the supply of rental housing affordable to extremely low income renters/residents with special needs/homeless	CDBG	Land acquisition/ engineering, utility infrastructure	2010-10	20210-8	DH-2
	Code Enforcement					
	Continue neighborhood revitalization efforts including code enforcement and improving the condition of housing for low to moderate-income residents.	CDBG	Emergency Minor Repair Program	2010-6	2010-9	SL-3
	Community Development					
	Infrastructure					
	Public Facilities					
	Public Services					
	Housing Counseling Services	CDBG	Benefit LMI residents/potential and actual homeowners	2010-100	2010-23	DH-3
	Economic Development					
	Neighborhood Revitalization/Other					

***Outcome/Objective Codes**

	Availability/Accessibility	Affordability	Sustainability
Decent Housing	DH-1	DH-2	DH-3
Suitable Living Environment	SL-1	SL-2	SL-3
Economic Opportunity	EO-1	EO-2	EO-3